



EXPLORING APPROACHES TO PRESERVICE SPECIAL & GENERAL EDUCATION TEACHER PREPARATION

September 9, 2016

Presenters: Percy Bates & Ebony Perouse-Harvey



TODAY'S AGENDA

■ 12:10 −12:20 Welcome & Historical Background/ Current Challenges in Special Education

■ 12:20 −12:35 *Small Group Activity*

■ 12:35 −12:50 *Whole Group Discussion*

■ 12:50 −12:55 *Debrief*



LET'S BEGIN WITH THESE QUESTIONS IN MIND

- Rock et al., describes three salient challenges within special education: special educator workload, role ambiguity, and evaluation. What challenges do general educators face with respect to these issues? Which is/are most salient to general educators? Why?
- How can we infuse knowledge of special education into our general education programming?
 - What do our candidates need to know and do?
 - Where in our program can we create opportunities for our candidates to learn about how to best support students with disabilities



A BRIEF HISTORY.



Categorial Era

(1800s) Sequin, Gallaudet, Itard

Categorization of students with various disabilities were based on research of the deaf/blind/MR.

(1958) Education of Mentally Retarded Children Act

Provided support to develop leadership preparation for special educators by colleges and universities.

(1972)

Pennsylvania Association for Retarded Children v. Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

No state can deny education to a child with a disability on the determination that he/she is uneducable or not toilet trained..

(1954) Brown v. Board of Education

"Separate but equal" is ruled unconstitutional setting a precedent that allowed for parents to fight against segregation for children with disabilities. (1970s) Bureau of
Education for the
Handicapped increased
funding to prepare teachers
to teach students with
specific disabilities.

(1975) Education of All Handicapped Children Act (PL 94-142)

Due Process, protection against discriminatory testing during assessment, LRE, IEPs



CATEGORIAL ERA

Research & Teaching

During this time effective special education teaching was defined by a teacher's ability to accurately diagnose deficits, and prescribing, implementing and assessing appropriate interventions.

- Disability as an organic disorder (physiological or structural change in an organ) based on the logic that is the process contributing to an impairment be identified, teachers can use specific interventions to address deficits.
- Diagnostic-prescriptive teaching assessment of perceptual motor or psycholinguistic abilities; instruction was designed to address deficits or capitalize on student strengths.



NONCATEGORICAL ERA

Research & Teaching (1970s-80s)

era was teacher-directed, efficiently paced, and included multiple opportunities for student involvement.

Behavioral and
 process-product
 research gained
 momentum producing an
 extensive repertoire of
 effective strategies for
 special educators.

 Teacher education became more competencybased.



NONCATEGORICAL ERA

Research & Teaching (1970s-1980s)

During this era effective teaching required that teachers have mastery of general instructional and classroom management strategies.

- Teacher shortages led to noncategorical licensure.
- Teachers were assigned students of various disability classifications.
- Curriculum-based measurement, adjustment in instruction was based on student performance data that was frequently collected.
- **Direct Instruction Curriculum** curriculum was designed to assist all students in areas of difficulty regardless of disability; scripted.



INTEGRATED ERA

Research & Teaching (1990s-Present)

What is considered effective teaching during the integrated era?

- Placed in general education classrooms; research revealed that separate class placement did not improve students with MR but RR were more effective for LD students than both general and separate placements; much debate around these findings.
- Debate between those in favor of full inclusion (dead end for students of color placed in special education) and those in favor of continuum of services (gen ed unmotivated and unprepared; students needs first).
- Also found that general educators paid little attention to learning differences and rarely adapted instruction.



MODERN DILEMMAS.



Have the "knowledge, skills, and dispositions" to efficaciously teach core academic subjects that are culturally and linguistically appropriate for their students;

- Have knowledge of federal, state, and local policy;
- Have knowledge of **implementation** of these policies;
- Employ evidenced-based practices (EBPs);
- Know how to effectively assess in order to assist in determining student eligibility for special education services;
- Write individualized education plans (IEPs) that include goals and objectives that are specific to individual students;

SPECIAL EDUCATION TEACHER WORKLOAD

- Conduct and support progress monitoring of students throughout the academic year;
- Consult and collaborate with related service providers, general educators, administrators, families, local and state agencies;

SPECIAL EDUCATION TEACHER WORKLOAD

- Stay up to date on evidenced-based practices, differentiation and assistive technology;
- Understand how various disabilities are manifested in the school environment;
- Facilitate **transition services** for students that are exiting secondary school into work and/or collegiate environments.



SPECIAL EDUCATION
TEACHER ROLE
AMBIGUITY

Special educators teach in a variety of settings that range from special schools (that cater to only students with specific disabilities) to general education classrooms (which are considered the least restrictive environment). They also collaborate with general educators in a variety of models, such as, coteaching, team teaching, and facilitation. Special educators also supervise paraeducators and provide direct instruction to students across a range of disabilities and grade levels in both academic and nonacademic subjects.



SPECIAL EDUCATION TEACHER EVALUATION

In some states, standardized testing is weighted as much as 50% of teachers' **yearly evaluations**. These evaluations do not take into consideration that **students** with disabilities require specialized instruction as a result of lower academic performance in relation to their peers. Special educators often work with students that are homeless, have attendance problems, and experience challenges at home. Special educators are provoked to leave the profession because of the pressure to raise standardized test scores to the detriment of other important goals, which also prevents talented professionals from pursuing this field.

APPLYING OUR COMBINED EXPERTISE.



SMALL GROUP DISCUSSION

Rock et al., describes three salient challenges within special education: special educator workload, role ambiguity, and evaluation. What challenges do general educators face with respect to these issues? Which is/are most salient to general educators? Why?



WHOLE GROUP DISCUSSION

- How can we infuse knowledge of special education into our general education programming?
 - What do our candidates need to know and do?
 - Where in our program can we create opportunities for our candidates to learn about how to best support students with disabilities?



SUMMARY & FUTURE CONSIDERATIONS.



For Extended Discussion

POTENTIAL FRAMEWORKS



RESPONSE TO INTERVENTION (RTI)

Tier 1

- High quality instruction in the general education environment.
- General educators are expected to have knowledge of evidencebased practices, implementation, and curriculum-based measurement.

• **Tier 2**

 Special educators must have a deep understanding of general education curriculum and collaborate and engage in 'multidisciplinary planning' with general educators.

Tier 3

• Special educators need knowledge of instructional interventions, technology, assessment for high-risk learners. Ability to assist students with intensive instruction in reading, writing, and mathematics. Integrate domain specific knowledge with their knowledge of intensive interventions and assessments.



21ST CENTURY TRANSFORMATIVE MODELS

- Driver 1: Digital Revolution
- Driver 2: The Diversity Gap
- Driver 3: The Credibility Factor
- Driver 4: The Demand for Collective Impact
- Driver 5: The Culture of We

